

(4)
(2)

Chatt

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION No. 889/2002


PETITIONER : Dewashish Ganguli,
S/o Shri B. K. Ganguli
R/o Arvindo Enclave,
Pachpedi Naka, Raipur (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through Secretary,
Department of Public Works
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. Chief Engineer,
Public Works Department,
Behind Aakashwani, Raipur
3. Executive Engineer,
Public Works Department,
B & R Division No. - 2,
Raipur (C.G.)

889/2
P. R. No.
Submitted by Shri. *Sarvey Singh*
dated *30.04.2002*



WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF
THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

71

68

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.D.No. 889 / सन् 2002

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	05.07.2003 Shri Sudhir Verma, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Sunil Sinha, Addl. Advocate General for the State. Heard. This petition has been filed on the ground that at the time of formation of new State of Chhattisgarh, the petitioner pursuant to notice of the Government inviting tender, submitted tender which was accepted and thereafter petitioner successfully completed the work and fulfilled all the conditions and terms and there is no complaint against the work executed by the petitioner. In spite of that the payments have not been made so far. By this petition, the petitioner has prayed for the direction to the respondents to pay/release forthwith balance amount and further to pay interest on the aforesaid amount at penal rate of 25%. Learned counsel for the parties submitted that this Court has passed an order in similar writ petitions bearing Nos.71/2002, 72/2002 and 73/2003. Having heard learned counsel and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, material on record and without	

[पीठ देखिये]

72

69

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक

W.P. 889/02

सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	entering into the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, it would be just and proper that the petitioner to file a detailed representation alongwith copy of the petition before respondent No.2/Chief Engineer and if such a representation is made, the respondent No.2 shall consider and decide it in accordance with law as early as possible, preferably within two months from the date of production of representation. On examination, if it is found that the petitioner is entitled to receipt of such payment, necessary action be taken, as has already been observed by this Court in W.P.No.2173/2001. It is made clear that non-compliance of this order shall be viewed seriously. With the observations made hereinabove, this writ petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.W.P.No.274/02 and I.A.Nos.2704/2002 for urgent hearing and I.A.No.4325/02 for direction respondents also stand disposed of. Certified photocopy, if applied for.	Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge

Anjan

[पीछे देखिये]